PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PAT01194(A)P	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/053031	International filing date (day/month/year) 11 November 2004 (11.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06 December 2003 (06.12.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant BASF COATINGS AG					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

	Date of issuance of this report 29 August 2006 (29.08.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Ellen Moyse
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt05@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) See Form PCT/ISA/210 Date of mailing (day/month/year) (sheet 2) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION PAT01194(A)P See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/053031 11.11.2004 06.12.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C09D7/12, C09D5/82, C09C1/02 Applicant BASF COATINGS AG This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer Facsimile No. Telephone No.

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/053031

Вох	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was ess otherwise indicated under this item.
	Thi	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
		, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	Rul	e 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	nat of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	fur	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or nished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as d or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addition	al comments:

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/053031

Bo	x No. I	I Priority
1.	\boxtimes	The following document has not yet been furnished:
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add	itional observations, if necessary:

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/053031

2. Citations and explanations:

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WO 01/92157 A (SOLVAY BARIUM STRONTIUM GMBH; HARDINGHAUS, FERDINAND; KEINERT, TANJA;)
 6 December 2001 (2001-12-06)
 - D2: EP 0 943 664 A (BAYER AG; BAYER

 MATERIALSCIENCE AG) 22 September 1999

 (1999-09-22)

D1 and D2 have been mentioned in the application.

- Document D1 discloses (the references between parentheses refer to said document):

 a process for preparation of BaSO₄ whose primary particle size is 30 nm, in which a dispersing agent, e.g. ethersulphonates, is added, the intended result being formation of small crystals. The particles are used in topcoats and cosmetics for UV absorption (page 1, paragraph 3-4; page 2, paragraph 3; page 3, paragraph 4 and 6; page 4, paragraph 2 page 5, paragraph 1).
- 2.1 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
 Document D1 therefore discloses, in conjunction with

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/053031

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

one another, all of the features mentioned in independent claim 1. The subject matter of said claim is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

2.2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 33

Document D1 therefore discloses, in conjunction with one another, all of the features mentioned in independent claim 33. The subject matter of said claim is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

2.3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 34

Document D1 therefore discloses, in conjunction with one another, all of the features mentioned in independent claim 34. The subject matter of said claim is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

- Document D2 discloses (the references between parentheses refer to said document):

 a scratch-resistant coating composition comprising
 i) deagglomerated nanoparticles, e.g. BaSO₄, and ii)
 dispersing agents, e.g. dibutyl phosphate (paragraph
 1, 2, 14-16, 19, 22, 28, 40, 42 and 46).
- 3.1 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

Document D2 therefore discloses, in conjunction with one another, all of the features mentioned in independent claim 1. The subject matter of said claim is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3.2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 12

Document D2 therefore discloses, in conjunction with one another, all of the features mentioned in

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/053031

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

independent claim 12. The subject matter of said claim is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3.3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 33

Document D2 therefore discloses, in conjunction with one another, all of the features mentioned in independent claim 33. The subject matter of said claim is therefore not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-8, 10, 11, 13-31
 Claims 2-8, 10, 11, 13-31 do not contain any
 features which, in combination with the features of
 any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT
 requirements for novelty and inventive step.
- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 9, 32

 The feature combination contained in the dependent claims is neither known from the available prior art nor rendered obvious thereby.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/053031

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because claims 1-5 and 16 are not clearly defined.

Claim 1 is not clear since it provides no clarity as to whether the dispersing agent and the crystallization inhibitor are the same, or two different, components (PCT Guidelines §5.31 and A5.20[2]).

Claims 1-5: the applicant is advised that the expression "preferably" and the phrases "in particular" and "if appropriate" and the expression "respectively" do not restrict the scope of protection of the claims (PCT Guidelines, §5.40).